IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.1081 OF 2004

Sachin Ravaba Kaale ...Appellant

vs.

Mrs.Savitra Mahaev Jadhav & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Bipin Joshi for Appellant
Mr.R.A.Thorat for Responent No.1

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : MARCH 10,2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Counsel appearing for the Appellant-original Plaintiff. The entire case of the Appellant-original Plaintiff is based on the alleged Will executed by Ravaba. The Appellate Court has considered the oral and documentary evidence on record and has come to the conclusion that the alleged Will is suspicious and execution thereof is not proved. One the circumstances which is relied upon by the Appellate Court is that the Will of the deceased Ravaba purports to state that after his demise his wife should adopt the present Appellant and in fact when the Will is allegedly executed, the present Appellant was not even born. Apart from these circumstances, there are other factors which are considered by the Appellate Court and findings of fact has been recorded. No substantial questions of law arises.

Second Appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

JUDGE.